

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,702	HOFER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary C. Vieaux	2612	

**All Participants:**

(1) Gary C. Vieaux.

(2) Leslie Gehman, #45,624.

**Status of Application:** Condition for Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 June 2005

**Time:** 4:00 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

Claim 22

**Claims discussed:**

Claim 22

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 22 was rejected in the action of 11/17/04 and this rejection was being upheld by the Examiner upon Response; all other claims pending after amendment were in condition for allowance (claims 7-10, 12-21, and 23-34). Claim 22 was cancelled in order to place the application in immediate condition for allowance. .